

Mr. Alain Olivier
4493 rue de La Roche
Montréal, P.Q.
Canada, H2J-3J2

Montréal, August 23, 2024

The Honorable Arif Virani
Minister of Justice of Canada
Department of Justice
284 Wellington Street
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada, K1A-0H8

Ref: *My letter to your attention dated August 9, 2023 – Request for a public inquiry*

Mr. Minister

After my detailed recommended letter dated August 9, 2023 to your attention, in the absence of a reply, it becomes clear Mr. Minister that your Office have chosen to ignore the serious issues in contained. Your refusal to answer or even to acknowledge receipt of my communication, however, won't make the problem go away.

As Minister of Justice, you are responsible for matters connected with the administration of justice that fall within federal jurisdiction. In your role, your primary obligation is to see that the administration of public affairs is in accordance with the law.

In light of the aforesaid and considering the issues brought to your attention in my letter dated August 9, 2023, as for your predecessors, I doubt that your action or lack of is in accordance with your duty to uphold the law. If anything, your silence makes the situation worse and threatens the integrity of your office.

That RCMP members lied under oath about the circumstances surrounding the death of a RCMP officer is one thing; a matter for the Public Safety Minister and we all agree on that. However, it becomes quite another matter, when judges of the Court allow these same members to do so in all impunity. In such instance, I doubt that the administration of public affairs is done in accordance with the law, for the simple reason that this would make the court accomplice of cover up into the death of a police officer at their turn. How can this be?

The RCMP may not be under your aegis, but the good functioning of the court surely is. As such, I will reiterate my questions to you again.

- 1) Since all the evidence used for the analysis related to RCMP Derek Flanagan's death emanates from the Office of the attorney general, do you agree with the integrity of the court documents in possession of your Office?
- 2) What led judges of the lower courts to ignore 174 pages of RCMP's lies, perjuries and contradictions related to the circumstances surrounding the death of RCMP corporal Derek Flanagan?

In the Supreme Court of Canada decision of 2010 in R. v. Briscoe: The doctrine of willful blindness imputes knowledge to a person whose suspicion is aroused to the point, where he or she sees the need for further inquiries, but deliberately chooses not to make those inquiries.

In the present case, all the evidence are at your disposal to do more than arousing your suspicions and to prompt your Office to act. The same evidence is also available on my website at alainolivier.ca. Rather than listening to your aids and being misguided, perhaps, the fact of personally taking cognizance of my letter will incite you to answer me, since your staff did not care doing so, thus far, for the simple reason that they can't do so without incriminating your office.

On one side, if ever you were to agree to the veracity of the evidence in your possession, it would mean admitting that RCMP members have indeed lied about the circumstances surrounding the death of RCMP Corporal Derek Flanagan. On the other, if ever you were to do so, it would mean, as supported through the evidence in your possession, to admit that Judges of the Court have allowed Force members to lie in all impunity about the circumstances surrounding the tragic death of RCMP Corporal Derek Flanagan.

If not, it would nevertheless imply another serious hitch. One related to the judges' work ethic and integrity at the time of analyzing the evidence. Either way, it indicates a clear problem. That your office cannot even acknowledge the integrity of evidence, (documents emanating exclusively from the Attorney General's file), only threatens to undermine the integrity of your Office.

The truth will come out one way or another, Mr. Minister. Thank you for your interest in protecting the good administration of justice. Looking forward to the pleasure of your reply, I remain.

Sincerely

Alain Olivier

C/c: The Honorable Prime Minister Justine Trudeau
C/c: Pearl & Associates